Recent Advances in the Genetics of Parkinson’s Disease
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Abstract

Genetic studies have provided valuable insight into the pathological mechanisms underlying Parkinson’s disease (PD). The elucidation of genetic components to what was once largely considered a nongenetic disease has given rise to a multitude of cell and animal models enabling the dissection of molecular pathways involved in disease etiology. Here, we review advances obtained from models of dominant mutations in α-synuclein and LRRK2 as well as recessive PINK1, parkin and DJ-1 mutations. Recent genome-wide association studies have implicated genetic variability at two of these loci, α-synuclein and LRRK2, as significant risk factors for developing sporadic PD. This, coupled with the established role of mitochondrial impairment in both familial and sporadic PD, highlights the likelihood of common mechanisms fundamental to the etiology of both.
INTRODUCTION

Parkinson’s disease (PD) is a progressive neurodegenerative disorder that profoundly affects movement. Cardinal symptoms of PD (bradykinesia, resting tremor, rigidity, and postural instability) manifest because of the relatively selective loss of nigrostriatal dopamine (DA) neurons that are important for regulating motor function (reviewed in 22). The onset of sporadic PD is noticeably related to aging, with a sharp rise in incidence seen after the age of 60 (26). Rare early-onset PD, which accounts for approximately 5%–10% of all cases, occurs before the age of 50 and is usually monogenic in origin. PD is prevalent in approximately 1% of the population over the age of 60. Some cross-ethnic studies have indicated a lower incidence in some African and Asian populations, although these reported differences may be the result of variability in case ascertainment related to screening methods, diagnostic criteria, and response rates as well as disparity in disease survival rates (26). Although much of the etiology of PD remains unclear, significant advances in understanding the mechanisms of disease pathogenesis have been made in the past two decades with the identification of distinct genetic loci at which pathogenic mutations are associated with parkinsonism (Table 1). Mendelian loci and the high-risk glucocerebrosidase (GBA) locus together account for approximately 10%–40% of disease risk, depending on the population under study (49), and this will likely increase as more risk loci are identified. Recent genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have been fruitful for identifying loci at which common genetic variants increase risk of developing apparently sporadic disease, and these studies have confirmed the role of the α-synuclein, leucine-rich repeat kinase 2 (LRRK2), and microtubule-associated protein tau (MAPT) loci as contributors to disease risk. Looking toward the future, GWAS and exome sequencing will be key to identifying novel PD-associated loci, as exemplified by the recent report of common variants at the major histocompatibility complex class II, DR alpha (HLA-DRA); bone marrow stromal cell antigen (BST1); and PARK16 loci as risk factors for PD (47, 109, 118).

Many pathogenic mutations produce variable pathological and clinical phenotypes that are distinct from typical PD (Table 1). This is consistent with the likely existence of different pathological mechanisms that all lead to the manifestation of clinical parkinsonism. For example, mutations at spinocerebellar ataxia (SCA) type 2 and 3 loci are associated with L-Dopa-responsive parkinsonism (65, 133). The presence of expanded polyglutamine repeats in SCA loci of affected individuals is suggestive of a pathogenic process distinct from that caused by mutations in other PD-associated genes. Alternatively, it is conceivable that disparate pathogenic triggers arising from different genetic mutations could converge on one or more common signaling pathways central to the loss of DA neurons, leading to the manifestation of parkinsonism.

Comprehensive reviews of all loci associated with PD can be found elsewhere (50, 78). Here, we focus on five genes conclusively linked to PD pathogenesis: α-synuclein, LRRK2, PTEN-induced putative kinase 1 (PINK1), parkin, and DJ-1 (Figure 1). We describe progress made in identifying their normal biological functions, discuss the consequences of pathogenic mutations gleaned from genetic models, and highlight recent studies that shed light on the possible interplay between several of these genes in disease development.

α-SYNUCLEIN

α-synuclein is a small (140 kDa) protein encoded by the α-synuclein gene—designated SNCA for synuclein alpha (non-A4 component of amyloid precursor)—and is one of three synuclein family members (α, β, γ) identified in humans (60, 74, 102). Synucleins appear to be exclusive to vertebrates, as invertebrates and single-celled organisms do not possess a homolog. Following the identification of the 209G>A (Ala53Thr) pathogenic SNCA mutation in the Contursi kindred (115), two further
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PARK locus</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Map position</th>
<th>Clinical phenotype</th>
<th>Pathology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PARK1/4</td>
<td>SNCA</td>
<td>4q21</td>
<td>Parkinsonism with common dementia</td>
<td>Lewy bodies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARK2</td>
<td>parkin</td>
<td>6q25-q27</td>
<td>Early-onset, slowly progressing parkinsonism</td>
<td>Lewy bodies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARK3</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>2p13</td>
<td>Late-onset parkinsonism</td>
<td>Lewy bodies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARK5</td>
<td>UCHL1</td>
<td>4p14</td>
<td>Late-onset parkinsonism</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARK6</td>
<td>PINK1</td>
<td>1p35-p36</td>
<td>Early-onset, slowly progressing parkinsonism</td>
<td>One case exhibiting Lewy bodies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARK7</td>
<td>DJ-1</td>
<td>1p36</td>
<td>Early-onset parkinsonism</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARK8</td>
<td>LRRK2</td>
<td>12q12</td>
<td>Late-onset parkinsonism</td>
<td>Lewy bodies (usually)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARK9</td>
<td>ATP13A2</td>
<td>1p36</td>
<td>Early-onset parkinsonism with Kufor–Rakeb syndrome</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARK10</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>1p32</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARK11</td>
<td>GIGYF2</td>
<td>2q36-q37</td>
<td>Late-onset parkinsonism</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARK12</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Xq</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARK13</td>
<td>Omi/HTRA2</td>
<td>2p13</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARK14</td>
<td>PLA2G6</td>
<td>22q13.1</td>
<td>Parkinsonism with additional features</td>
<td>Lewy bodies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARK15</td>
<td>FBX07</td>
<td>22q12-q13</td>
<td>Early-onset parkinsonism</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARK16</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>1q32</td>
<td>Late-onset parkinsonism</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTDP-17</td>
<td>MAPT</td>
<td>17q21.1</td>
<td>Dementia, sometimes parkinsonism</td>
<td>Neurofibrillary tangles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCA2</td>
<td>Ataxin 2</td>
<td>12q24.1</td>
<td>Usually ataxia, sometimes parkinsonism</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCA3</td>
<td>Ataxin 3</td>
<td>14q21</td>
<td>Usually ataxia, sometimes parkinsonism</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gower’s locus</td>
<td>GBA</td>
<td>1q21</td>
<td>Late-onset parkinsonism</td>
<td>Lewy bodies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PD-associated SNCA missense mutations were found: 188G>A (Glu46Lys) (154) and 88G>C (Ala30Pro) (75) (Figure 1). Numerous studies have also established a link between familial PD and duplications or triplications in the SNCA gene (95). Instructively, gene triplication leads to earlier onset and faster progression of disease than duplication, indicating that disease severity is dependent on α-synuclein expression levels. These findings in humans have been supported by cell and animal models in which expression of wild-type or mutant α-synuclein results in neuronal toxicity (90). Additionally, a link between sporadic PD and SNCA gene expression is supported by evidence of reduced epigenetic silencing of SNCA (63) and SNCA promoter region polymorphisms that might increase α-synuclein expression (104). Taken together, these studies thus consistently indicate a relationship between α-synuclein expression levels and the appearance of PD, leading to the widely held hypothesis that a gain of function by α-synuclein underlies pathogenesis in PD.

**α-Synuclein Plays a Role in Neurotransmitter Release**

α-synuclein is expressed at high levels within the vertebrate brain, localized principally to synaptic terminals where it associates with vesicles and the plasma membrane (58). In vitro, α-synuclein appears to be natively unfolded in solution, although α-synuclein likely adopts defined conformations to function in cells, and alpha-helical rich conformations have been observed following the association of α-synuclein with membranes (32). The normal function of α-synuclein remains unclear, although emerging evidence points toward a possible role in neurotransmitter release (93). Specifically, recent in vivo evidence demonstrates that α-synuclein binds to and promotes
Figure 1
Parkinson's disease (PD) gene product domains and pathogenic mutations. Domains are arranged from N-terminus to C-terminus. For α-synuclein: a number of imperfect KTKEGV repeat sequences (white stripes) in the N-terminal region and central NAC (non-amyloid component) region. For LRRK2: Ank (Ankyrin-like repeats), LRR (leucine-rich repeats), ROC (Ras of complex proteins) GTPase domain, COR (C-terminal of ROC), kinase, and WD40. For PINK1: MTS (mitochondrial targeting sequence), TM (putative transmembrane domain), and serine/threonine kinase. For parkin: UBL (ubiquitin-like) and two RING domains separated by an IBR (in-between RING) domain. DJ-1 is a single-domain protein. Numbers under the protein indicate domain boundaries. Mutations that segregate with PD are annotated at their approximate position along the protein's length.
assembly of soluble N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive factor attachment protein receptor (SNARE) complexes that are required for fusion of vesicles to the presynaptic membrane (10, 13). Although knock-out of α-synuclein alone or α-synuclein and β-synuclein together has minimal effects on neuronal function (12), triple-knock-out mice lacking all synucleins display deficits in SNARE complex assembly and develop accelerated age-associated motor impairments as well as early-onset mortality, but do not exhibit neurodegeneration (10, 45). Neurotransmission is also perturbed by increased α-synuclein expression in the range predicted for gene multiplication (93). Mechanistically, studies of transfected hippocampal cultures indicate that synaptic vesicle recycling is impaired following α-synuclein overexpression, because there is a reduction in synaptic vesicle density at the active zone and in the size of the synaptic vesicle recycling pool compared with control neurons. It is currently unclear whether these effects are due to a gain of function in α-synuclein or attributable to a loss of normal biological function.

**α-Synuclein Aggregation Plays a Role in the Pathogenesis of Parkinson’s Disease**

In the PD brain, α-synuclein is usually detected in Lewy bodies and Lewy neurites in neurons of the brain stem and cortex, and aggregates of α-synuclein are a major protein component of these structures (77). α-synuclein exhibits a high propensity to aggregate in vitro, and the presence of α-synuclein aggregates in Lewy bodies has led to the hypothesis that aggregation may be important to α-synuclein toxicity (Figure 2) (16). Indeed, mutation or multiplication of α-synuclein leads to its increased tendency to develop a β-sheet-rich structure and to polymerize into oligomers and higher-order fibrillar aggregates in cells, transgenic animals expressing mutant α-synuclein, and human brain (76, 77, 89, 96, 114) (Figure 2). Because A35T and A30P mutations preferentially promote the formation of α-synuclein oligomers and because PD patients sometimes lack Lewy bodies, oligomeric α-synuclein may be the primary toxic species in PD pathogenesis (17, 33). Studies in transgenic α-synuclein mice that exhibit only prefibrillar oligomers indicate that α-synuclein is toxic in the oligomeric form. However, fibrils of α-synuclein do play a role in toxicity, as the formation of α-synuclein fibrils is required for significant neurodegeneration (24).

Several biological and environmental factors may contribute to the formation of α-synuclein oligomers and fibrils. α-synuclein oligomers are predominantly found in membrane-rich fractions, and studies suggest that membrane-bound α-synuclein has a higher tendency to aggregate and may seed formation of soluble oligomers elevated in PD (76, 114). The preferential loss of DA neurons in PD may be related to a stabilizing effect of DA (or its oxidation by-products) on protofibrillar α-synuclein aggregates that promote toxicity (18). This effect may be mediated through a direct impact of DA on α-synuclein conformation, which occurs in cultured neurons (96). Aging may contribute to α-synuclein toxicity via the age-related accumulation of oxidative and nitrative α-synuclein modifications, which promote aggregation (Figure 2) (38, 77). Exposure to compounds such as rotenone and paraquat might also promote α-synuclein aggregation (84, 115). Amyloid β peptide (Aβ), which is thought to underlie the proteinopathy of Alzheimer’s disease, promotes α-synuclein aggregation via the formation of heterologous Aβ and α-synuclein oligomers. Mice with enhanced levels of α-synuclein and Aβ exhibit augmented neuronal impairment over mice with increased α-synuclein alone (86, 125). Moreover, MAPT promotes the formation of α-synuclein oligomers and fibrils, and there is augmented neuronal impairment in mice expressing both MAPT and α-synuclein (39). Thus, the interaction between MAPT, Aβ, and α-synuclein might be relevant to patients with combined Alzheimer’s and PD pathology. Mutations in GBA are also strongly associated with the development of PD and other

**SNARE:** soluble N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive factor attachment protein receptor

**Lewy bodies:** cytoplasmic proteinaceous inclusions found in neurons in several neurodegenerative diseases, including PD

**Aβ:** amyloid β peptide

---
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Potential pathogenic pathways linking the genetic and sporadic causes of Parkinson's disease (PD). A key feature of both sporadic and genetic causes of PD is mitochondrial dysfunction. Mutations in the autosomal recessive genes PINK1, parkin, and DJ-1 may directly cause mitochondrial dysfunction. PINK1 may act upstream of parkin. PINK1 and parkin may regulate mitochondrial mitophagy. Parkin may directly regulate mitochondrial biogenesis through PARIS, which is a transcriptional repressor of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPARγ) coactivator-1α (PGC-1α), the master regulator of mitochondrial biogenesis. Dominant mutations in POLG, the catalytic subunit of mitochondrial DNA polymerase, cause parkinsonism in some families. Dominant mutations in LRRK2 and α-synuclein cause PD. Oxidative stress, including nitrosative (NO) stress and c-Abl phosphorylation of parkin, leads to its inactivation in sporadic PD and the subsequent accumulation of substrates that are degraded by the ubiquitin proteasome system, such as AIMP2, FBP-1, and PARIS. PARIS may be the key pathogenic parkin substrate, as knock-down of PARIS in an adult conditional knock-out of parkin completely rescues neurodegeneration of dopamine (DA) neurons. α-synuclein aggregation is a key step in DA neuron degeneration in PD. Oxidative and NO stress can accelerate α-synuclein aggregation, and aggregated α-synuclein can damage mitochondria, setting in motion a feed-forward mechanism. GBA mutations also seem to accelerate α-synuclein aggregation, and LRRK2 and α-synuclein may interact at some level in the pathogenesis of PD. LRRK2 mutations lead to DA neurodegeneration that is kinase dependent.

Lewy body disorders (129). The mechanism underlying this association is not known, but may reflect alterations in lipid metabolism or autophagy and lysosomal function.

**α-Synuclein Exhibits Prion-Like Pathology**

An interesting feature of Lewy body pathology is that it may spread in the brain of PD patients from the lower brain stem to the midbrain and then to the cerebral hemispheres (9). One crucial question facing the field is whether the formation of these inclusions are brain region autonomous or, conversely, spread from one region to another in a prion-like manner. In favor of the latter hypothesis, embryonic or fetal DA neuron grafts transplanted into the striatum of PD patients develop α-synuclein positive Lewy bodies after only 11–16 years
This astounding observation, coupled to reports that α-synuclein is directly transmitted from neurons overexpressing the protein to adjacent healthy embryonic stem cells in culture or in transgenic animals (27), supports the interpretation that α-synuclein aggregates are transferred between neurons. Additionally, misfolded α-synuclein aggregates, akin to the pathogenic prion protein conformer (PrPSc), serve as a template to promote additional misfolding and aggregation of native protein (32, 61). Hence, under certain conditions, transmissible α-synuclein aggregates may self-propagate from neuron to neuron and from one brain region to the next. One puzzling aspect of this theory is how, in transmitting from one neuron to its neighbor, α-synuclein aggregates are able to pass through two cell membrane barriers. It is possible that cell–cell transfer of small transmissible aggregates may occur via exocytosis and endocytosis, cell injury, exosomes, or tunneling nanotubes. It will be important to disrupt these processes in a targeted fashion to understand whether they truly underlie the spread of α-synuclein in vivo. If cell–cell transfer of α-synuclein aggregates is relevant to PD etiology, determining the underlying mechanisms will be crucial to understanding disease development and identifying potential strategies to block aggregate transmission (Figure 3).

**Neuroprotection Against α-Synuclein Toxicity**

Protection against toxic α-synuclein aggregation is an attractive therapeutic strategy, and several studies have focused on a number of small molecules that interact with α-synuclein and inhibit its aggregation in vitro (7, 30, 46, 144). One approach is to design agents that halt the aggregation process or, alternatively, accelerate aggregation into insoluble inclusions with a low toxic profile. A range of molecules that affect α-synuclein amyloidogenesis exist, including antioxidants, β-synuclein, and small N-methylated peptides that bind to and block key regions required for aggregation (46, 83). The flavonoid baikalein blocks α-synuclein fibril formation and disaggregates preformed mature fibrils (55, 144). For any potential aggregation inhibitor, it will be important to understand the underlying mechanism. The polyphenol (-)-epigallocatechin gallate (EGCG) potently inhibits α-synuclein fibrillogenesis and, crucially, shows the potential to arrest aggregation at a very early step as well as reduce the toxicity of preformed aggregates (7, 30). EGCG binds to natively unstructured α-synuclein monomers, preventing their conversion to β-sheet-rich structures and thereby blocking the assembly of toxic oligomers and fibrils. Preformed α-synuclein aggregates are also bound by EGCG, leading to fibril remodeling and breakdown to smaller amorphous aggregates that exhibit reduced cellular toxicity. Whether EGCG binding to α-synuclein is protective against fibrillogenesis and pathology in vivo is not known. One potential complication stems from the ability of EGCG to bind to α-synuclein and stimulate the formation of off-pathway oligomers at a relatively fast rate compared with spontaneous α-synuclein fibrillogenesis. Hopefully, EGCG binding and EGCG-mediated oligomerization in vivo will not perturb the normal biological function of α-synuclein in a manner that promotes neuronal dysfunction.

SIRT2 inhibitors may affect α-synuclein aggregation. Intriguingly, loss of tyrosine hydroxylase (TH)–positive neurons in the brains of flies expressing α-synuclein targeted to neurons was rescued by feeding flies SIRT2 inhibitors without affecting levels of transgenic α-synuclein expression (97). The protective mechanism by which SIRT2 inhibitor treatment prevents α-synuclein-related toxicity is unknown, although the observed increase in α-synuclein inclusion size and consequent decrease in overall inclusion surface area in flies treated with SIRT2 inhibitor may lower the interaction of aggregates with cellular macromolecules, thereby reducing their toxicity.

**LRRK2**

LRRK2 is a large multidomain protein with guanosine-5′-triphosphate (GTP)–regulated
α-synuclein aggregation and pathophysiology. α-synuclein natively unfolded monomers assemble to form β-sheet-rich soluble oligomers, which further aggregate to form mature fibrils. Aggregates at both stages are thought to act as templates that seed further α-synuclein fibrillogenesis in a feed-forward cycle. Fibrils can deposit into Lewy bodies or might break down via incomplete degradation into small transmissible aggregates that are able to transmit between cells, facilitating the spread of α-synuclein aggregation and toxicity in a prion-like manner. The mechanism of transmission from one neuron to another is unknown. Prevention of oligomer and fibril formation and the disaggregation of mature fibrils to nontoxic breakdown products are therapeutic goals, and several compounds—including (−)-epigallocatechin gallate (EGCG), baicalein, N-methylated peptides, and catechol-based compounds—have been put forward based on their effectiveness in vitro.

serine/threonine kinase activity (134). LRRK2 belongs to the ROCO protein family, all of which possess tandem ROC (Ras of complex proteins) GTPase–COR (C-terminal of ROC) domains. The catalytic core of LRRK2 consists sequentially of ROC, COR, and kinase domains and is flanked by upstream ankyrin and leucine-rich repeats and a downstream

Figure 3
WD40 domain that likely mediate protein-protein and/or protein-membrane interactions (Figure 1). The kinase domain of LRRK2 and its homolog LRRK1 are most similar in sequence to the receptor-interacting protein kinase and death-domain-containing interleukin receptor-associated kinase families (19). Several mutations that cluster in the central GTPase/kinase region of LRRK2 (Y1699C, I2020T, R1441C, and R1441G) segregate with disease in large families worldwide with autosomal dominant PD (98, 146). A number of other mutations have been identified, but whether they segregate with disease is not clear.

The G2019S mutation in the kinase domain of LRRK2 is the most common mutation segregating with familial PD (21). The mutation is also present in a multitude of apparently sporadic cases (21). G2019S carriers have similar disease risk and progression irrespective of whether they are heterozygous or homozygous for the mutation, strongly supporting its dominant nature. Penetrance of the G2019S mutation is age related, reaching approximately 80% in carriers by 80 years of age (51). Hence, penetrance is incomplete even at advanced ages, and a number of affected individuals remain neurologically unimpaired. Additional studies revealed a third pathogenic mutation at amino residue 1441 (R1441H), and GWAS independently performed on European and Japanese populations both identified common genetic variants at the \textit{LRRK2} locus as significant risk factors for sporadic PD (21).

**LRRK2 Pathology**

One salient feature of pathogenic LRRK2 mutations is that they typically result in clinical phenotypes that resemble late-onset sporadic PD even though Lewy body pathology is sometimes absent or lacking (33, 85). This disconnect between the clinical manifestation of PD and the presence of Lewy bodies is similarly found with disease-causing mutations in parkin (91, 122) and supports the contention that these inclusions are not necessary for the neurodegeneration and clinical phenotypes of PD, and may instead be a consequence of the disease. Mutations outside of the catalytic domains do not appear to segregate with PD, implicating the GTPase and kinase activities of LRRK2 as central to disease development. This does not, however, negate the importance of other LRRK2 regions in disease.

New evidence shows that mutations in the enzymatic core may impact the interaction of 14–3-3 proteins with the N-terminus of LRRK2, slightly upstream of its leucine-rich repeat domain. 14–3-3 proteins are important modulators of cell signaling, and several members of this protein family can bind to phosphorylated Ser910 and Ser935 on LRRK2 (94). Importantly, numerous mutations in the ROC, COR, or kinase domains of LRRK2 impair 14–3-3 binding, and the decreased phosphorylation of Ser910 and Ser935 observed following most of these mutations might explain the reduced binding. The possibility of a common pathogenic effect caused by different LRRK2 mutations is exciting, but dampened by the finding that the G2019S mutation does not appear to decrease 14–3-3 binding (94); hence, LRRK2 mutations may cause pathology via different mechanisms.

LRRK2 kinase activity requires functional GTP binding (135), although a reciprocal dependence of GTPase function on kinase activity is not supported by in vitro studies (135). LRRK2 exists primarily as a dimer in vivo (19), and LRRK2 kinase activity may be dependent on dimer formation because LRRK2 monomers or oligomers, in contrast to LRRK2 dimers, appear to be catalytically inactive (112). Consistent with a possible role for LRRK2 dimerization in PD, several pathogenic mutations result in an increased proportion of LRRK2 dimers relative to total protein, and hence disruption of dimer formation may be an important therapeutic goal (112).

**LRRK2 Substrates**

Studies on cells and primary neuronal cultures showed that LRRK2 toxicity requires intact
kinase activity (Figure 2) (44, 119). This, combined with evidence that pathogenic LRRK2 variants (including the common G2019S mutation) often increase autophosphorylation or kinase activity toward pseudosubstrates, has generated substantial interest in identifying authentic physiological substrates of LRRK2. To date, a number of candidate LRRK2 substrates that exhibit increased phosphorylation in the presence of PD-linked LRRK2 variants have been put forward from in vitro studies. These include members of the ERM (ezrin/radixin/moesin) protein family, which cross-link the cytoskeleton and plasma membrane (99); members of the MAPK kinase (40) and Ste20 serine/threonine kinase families (139); and eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E-binding protein (4E-BP) (57).

Additional evidence exists to suggest that 4E-BP might be an in vivo LRRK2 substrate, because manipulating expression of the Drosophila LRRK2 ortholog (dLRRK) led to an increase in 4E-BP phosphorylation in dLRRK transgenic flies and decreased phosphorylation in flies with no detectable dLRRK expression (57). 4E-BP phosphorylation disrupts its binding to eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E (eIF4E), thus freeing eIF4E for its role in messenger RNA (mRNA) cap-dependent protein translation, suggesting that targets of 4E-BP translational regulation may be important in PD pathogenesis. A link between phosphorylated (inactive) 4E-BP and neurodegeneration is supported by the ability of overexpressed 4E-BP to suppress DA neuron pathology in flies with dLRRK mutations that increase its kinase activity. Similarly, increased 4E-BP activity arising from treatment with rapamycin or loss of dLRRK suppressed degeneration of DA neurons in PINK1 and parkin mutants (121).

Recent data from dietary restriction studies in flies suggest a possible role for 4E-BP activity in regulating mitochondrial function that could explain its protective effects following loss of PINK1 and parkin, and might be relevant to PD pathogenesis. 4E-BP is a target of the nutrient-sensing protein mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR), and in flies, upregulation of 4E-BP following dietary restriction is central to the life span extension caused by reduced feeding. More importantly, the effects of 4E-BP upregulation were mediated by enhanced mitochondrial activity, specifically due to the translational upregulation of nuclear-encoded mitochondrial gene expression (145). Hence, the positive impact of 4E-BP overexpression on mitochondrial activity may negate the otherwise deleterious effects of PINK1 or parkin deficiencies. Mitochondrial dysfunction is consistently implicated in PD etiology, and it is tempting to speculate that aberrant 4E-BP phosphorylation caused by elevated LRRK2 kinase activity might perturb 4E-BP activity under certain stress conditions that could impact mitochondrial function and possibly neuronal viability. This, of course, hinges on a number of conditions, not least the validity of 4E-BP as an authentic LRRK2 substrate, which is currently a matter of debate (73). For example, 4E-BP phosphorylation levels were unaffected by induced or transiently transfected LRRK2 expression in HEK-293 cells, even when the G2019S or R1441C pathological variants were introduced (73). In contrast, 4E-BP was extensively phosphorylated under the same conditions by another kinase, MAPK14, raising doubt over whether 4E-BP is truly a direct substrate for LRRK2 kinase activity.

LRRK2 Kinase Activity Is Required for Neurodegeneration

Besides the need to identify bona fide LRRK2 substrates, it will also be crucial to determine the efficacy of LRRK2 kinase inhibitors as neuroprotective agents in PD. Indeed, a recent screen identified a number of compounds that reduce or completely block LRRK2 kinase activity in vitro (75). Interestingly, the Raf kinase inhibitors, GW5074 and sorafenib, protect against LRRK2 toxicity in cortical neurons, whereas ZM336372, which inhibits Raf kinases but not LRRK2, fails to prevent LRRK2 toxicity, indicating that these effects are due to impaired LRRK2 kinase activity (75). Similarly, the cyclin-dependent kinase and
GSK-3β inhibitor indirubin-3′-monooxime blocked LRRK2 kinase activity and prevented LRRK2-related neuronal toxicity, whereas its functional analog, indirubin, which has no effect on LRRK2 activity, did not, supporting the contention that these effects were mediated via LRRK2 inhibition. Two of these compounds, GW5074 and indirubin-3′-monooxime, ameliorate the loss of DA neurons following intrastratal HSV amplicon-mediated delivery of G2019S-LRRK2 in mice (75). The ability of these compounds to prevent LRRK2 toxicity in vivo warrants additional efforts to develop selective and potent LRRK2 inhibitors and to assess their potential therapeutic benefits in humans.

**LRRK2 Possibly Regulates Protein Translation**

A novel twist in the potential function of LRRK2 comes from recent evidence that it may interact with the microRNA pathway to regulate translation (35). In flies, pathogenic human LRRK2 or dLRRK mutations lead to elevated levels of the cell-cycle control proteins E2F-1 and DP, which occurs because of reduced translational repression by let-7 and miR-184, respectively (35). In light of the finding that E2F-1 is aberrantly expressed in the brains of PD patients and that inappropriate expression of cell-cycle proteins in postmitotic neurons may lead to cell death (54), dysregulated translation may represent an important pathogenic output of mutant LRRK2. One caveat to this interpretation is that the effects on E2F-1 and DP protein levels were seen in flies raised and aged at elevated temperatures (effectively under heat shock conditions), creating the possibility that the pathogenic effects of LRRK2 on protein translation may be seen only under explicit stress conditions. Nonetheless, the interaction of LRRK2 with the microRNA pathway appears to be kinase dependent, and the suppression of this pathway caused by pathogenic LRRK2 with increased kinase activity further underscores the importance of kinase activity to LRRK2 toxicity and potential therapy.

**DO LRRK2 AND α-SYNUCLEIN ACT IN THE SAME PATHOGENIC PATHWAY?**

Several lines of evidence implicate a possible interplay of LRRK2 and α-synuclein in PD pathology (Figure 2). Neuropathologic examination of brains from PD patients with LRRK2 mutations indicates that Lewy bodies are often present (108). LRRK2 also colocalizes, in part, with α-synuclein during the early stages of aggregation in the brain stem of patients with PD or dementia with Lewy bodies (1), and LRRK2 immunoreactivity is occasionally detected in Lewy bodies (53). LRRK2 may modulate the neuropathological progression in PD-linked A53T α-synuclein transgenic mice (80). Neither overexpression nor ablation of LRRK2 expression reportedly led to any observed neurodegeneration in mice up to 20 months of age; co-overexpression of WT or G2019S-LRRK2 accelerated the progression of A53T α-synuclein-mediated neuropathological changes and led to earlier α-synuclein aggregation and abnormal accumulation in striatal neurons. Surprisingly, co-overexpression of LRRK2 lacking a kinase domain also exacerbated α-synuclein-mediated neurodegeneration, suggesting that kinase activity is not central to their interaction. Importantly, knock-out of LRRK2 reduced the accumulation and aggregation of A53T α-synuclein and delayed its associated pathology (80), supporting a genetic interaction between SNCA and LRRK2. Investigation into possible mechanisms underlying this interaction revealed that disruption of the Golgi apparatus, which likely impairs protein trafficking, occurred to a greater extent in double-transgenic mice than in those expressing A53T α-synuclein or LRRK2 alone, and was mitigated in LRRK2 knock-out and A53T α-synuclein transgenic mice. Taken together, these studies suggest that LRRK2 might promote α-synuclein expression, aggregation, and toxicity. One notable feature of LRRK2 is that expression studies in humans and rodents indicate low levels of LRRK2 expression in the substantia nigra (where DA neurons are
Oxidative stress: disequilibrium between the generation of ROS and their detoxification by endogenous antioxidants leading to macromolecular oxidative damage selectively lost in PD) compared with other brain regions, including the striatum (52). If pathogenic LRRK2 promotes α-synuclein aggregation and commences a cascade of self-propagating aggregation that can transmit from cell to cell, then one interesting possibility to consider is that α-synuclein aggregation may begin outside of substantia nigra DA neurons—for example, in the striatum—and eventually transfer to these neurons, where it is capable of inducing toxicity.

Complicating a possible relationship between α-synuclein and LRRK2 is the fact that α-synuclein-positive Lewy bodies are sometimes absent from the brains of patients with LRRK2-linked PD (33, 85). This is somewhat inconsistent with a role for LRRK2 in promoting α-synuclein aggregation, although not entirely so, because nonfibrillar aggregates could be the predominant species in these cases. Also, although multiple investigators have noted a lack of apparent α-synuclein accumulation or aggregation in the brains of LRRK2 knock-out mice (3, 80), there appears to be a dramatic age-dependent accumulation and aggregation of α-synuclein in the kidneys of one line of LRRK2 knock-out mice (124). Accompanying the accumulation of α-synuclein are defects in the autophagy-lysosomal pathway and apoptotic cell death (124). This finding suggests a possible role for LRRK2 in regulating protein degradation and is at odds with a positive correlation between LRRK2 expression and α-synuclein aggregation. Hence, the precise relationship between LRRK2 and α-synuclein remains somewhat obscure and will require further investigation to unravel.

Considering that mitochondrial dysfunction has an established role in sporadic PD pathogenesis, an understanding of dysregulated mitochondrial turnover following loss of PINK1 and parkin function and how this contributes to neurodegeneration might provide insight into both familial and sporadic PD.

PINK1 Is a Mitochondrial-Associated Protein Kinase

PINK1 is a protein kinase found in the cytosol and mitochondria, where its kinase domain is thought to face the cytosol (142). PINK1 kinase activity is reduced by a number of PD-associated mutations, although other pathogenic mutations exist outside the kinase domain, raising the question of whether loss of kinase activity is required for PD pathogenesis.

Parkin Is a Ubiquitin E3 Ligase That Is Inactivated in Parkinson’s Disease

Parkin is an E3 ubiquitin ligase, which tags proteins for proteasomal degradation and is localized primarily to the cytoplasm (23). PD-linked parkin mutations give rise to early-onset parkinsonism, often with the absence of Lewy bodies, and account for a large proportion of all familial PD cases (23). However, almost as many patients with parkin mutations have Lewy bodies compared with patients that do not. Most parkin mutations impact its E3 ligase activity or interactions with E2 enzymes such as UbcH7 and UbcH8, leading to a loss of parkin function (116, 140). Moreover, parkin is inactivated by nitrosative, dopaminergic, and oxidative stress in sporadic PD, indicating that parkin dysfunction plays a role in autosomal recessive parkinsonism (owing to parkin mutations) and sporadic PD (23). Thus, accumulation of parkin substrates is thought to contribute to DA neurodegeneration owing to parkin inactivation in the pathogenesis of PD. Interestingly, the nonreceptor tyrosine kinase, c-Abl, phosphorylates tyrosine 143 of parkin and seems to play a predominant role in inactivating parkin in sporadic PD (Figure 3) (69). Because c-Abl inhibitors and loss of c-Abl
expression maintain parkin in a catalytically active state, c-Abl inhibition may be an attractive therapy for the treatment of PD (Figure 2).

**PARIS Is Required for Neurodegeneration in Parkinson’s Disease Due to Parkin Inactivation**

It has been difficult to reconcile a common biochemical pathway for parkin in PD in part because of its ability to mono- and polyubiquitinate and to ubiquitinate proteins with both lysine-48 and lysine-63 chains, as well as a diverse array of substrates (23). A new parkin-interacting substrate, PARIS, provides a molecular mechanism for neurodegeneration due to parkin inactivation in PD (Figure 4) (117). Parkin regulates the levels of PARIS via the ubiquitin proteasome system. PARIS accumulates in PD brain and models of parkin inactivation. In adult mice with conditional parkin knock-out, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPARγ) coactivator-1α (PGC-1α) expression is potently downregulated by PARIS through transcriptional repression, leading to progressive loss of DA neurons that is PARIS dependent. Thus, this parkin–PARIS–PGC-1α pathway contributes to neurodegeneration of DA neurons in PD (117). Other substrates—such as the aminoacyl-tRNA-synthetase-interacting multifunctional protein type 2 (AIMP2), also known as p38/JTV-1 (20, 70), and far upstream element-binding protein 1 (FBP-1) (68)—may also play a role in DA neuron degeneration due to parkin inactivation (Figure 2), but PARIS upregulation is necessary and sufficient to cause DA neuron degeneration in models of parkin inactivation.

**Mitochondrial Abnormalities in PINK1- and Parkin-Deficient Animals**

Insight into the interaction between PINK1 and parkin and the pathological consequences of their PD-linked mutations have come from cell and animal models, especially those involving *Drosophila melanogaster* (14, 28, 43, 100). Complete loss of PINK1 or parkin function in flies leads to remarkably similar phenotypes, such as locomotor impairment; apoptotic muscle degeneration; reduced life span; the appearance of mitochondria with a swollen, rarified appearance; and significant (although subtle) loss of DA neurons (43, 101). A contribution of oxidative stress to PD pathology is supported by the observation that flies with PINK1 or parkin deficits have reduced resistance to exogenous oxidative stressors, and, moreover, the neuronal death observed in these mutants is prevented by antioxidant overexpression (132, 137). One...
speculative cause of endogenous oxidative stress in these flies is elevated mitochondrial reactive oxygen species (ROS) generation caused by respiratory chain dysfunction in impaired mitochondria.

Importantly, parkin overexpression in PINK1-deficient flies results in a complete rescue of these phenotypes, whereas enhancing PINK1 expression did not prevent these phenotypes in parkin mutant flies (14, 100). This genetic interaction is also supported by cell studies in which abnormal mitochondrial morphology and depolarization seen in HeLa cells with endogenous PINK1 deficits are rescued by overexpressing wild-type but not PD-linked mutant parkin (31, 100). Collectively, these studies indicate that parkin and PINK1 act in a common genetic pathway in which PINK1 is likely to be upstream (28).

**PINK1 and Parkin Might Regulate Mitochondrial Autophagy**

Recent evidence suggests that overexpressed parkin might be recruited selectively to damaged mitochondria (which have become depolarized owing to loss of membrane potential) to promote autophagy in a PINK1-dependent manner (Figure 5) (130). A proposed mechanism for PINK1 selective signaling of dysfunctional mitochondria centers around the voltage-dependent proteolysis of full-length endogenous PINK1 (63 kDa) in healthy mitochondria to a shorter 52 kDa form that is not retained by mitochondria and therefore maintains low levels of PINK1 on healthy (polarized) mitochondria (92). Loss of membrane potential inhibits PINK1 cleavage, leading to its accumulation on the outer mitochondrial membrane (92). Once recruited to the mitochondrion, ubiquitination of potential substrates such as voltage-dependent anion channel 1 (VDAC1) (36) and mitofusin (34, 103, 147) may be instrumental in identifying and segregating damaged mitochondria for degradation in a P62-mediated process (36). Importantly, pathogenic mutations in PINK1 or parkin appear to perturb parkin recruitment, substrate ubiquitination, and mitophagy (36, 37), thus implicating deficits in parkin- and PINK1-dependent mitochondrial turnover in PD pathology.

Although these studies further our understanding of potential mechanisms that underlie PD, several issues will need to be addressed to better understand the role of parkin recruitment to mitochondria by PINK1. First, although most studies consistently show that overexpressed wild-type parkin is recruited to mitochondria in a PINK1-dependent process,
it may be asked whether the same is true of endogenous parkin, and how PD-linked mutations in endogenous parkin affect its recruitment. No study to date has shown a role for endogenous parkin in mitochondrial turnover. Moreover, no study has shown that PINK1 and parkin regulate mitochondria in DA neurons. Also, if PINK1 expression is required for parkin recruitment and elimination of dysfunctional mitochondria, how is it that (a) the pathological phenotypes resulting from the loss of PINK1 in cells or flies are completely rescued by overexpression of parkin (31, 100), and (b) overexpressing parkin in human fibroblasts from a PINK1 nonsense mutation carrier leads to detectable levels of parkin in the mitochondrial fraction suggestive of mitochondrial recruitment (106)? Does parkin have additional roles besides maintaining mitochondrial integrity that allow it to rescue PINK1-deficient animals? Also, what is the precise nature of the PINK1-parkin interaction at damaged mitochondria? Do PINK1 and parkin actually regulate mitochondrial autophagy (mitophagy)? Studies to date have examined only protein markers of mitochondrial DNA content that PINK1 and parkin actually regulate mitophagy of mitochondria. Parkin phosphorylation by PINK1 has been observed (67, 113), but not consistently (131), and parkin does not appear to ubiquitinate PINK1 or promote its proteasomal degradation (131), leaving a direct functional interaction of parkin and PINK1 unresolved. If endogenous PINK1 and parkin are ultimately shown to regulate mitophagy in neurons, PARIS is likely to be part of a homeostatic mechanism that increases mitochondrial size and number through its regulation of PGC-1α, because PGC-1α is a major transcriptional regulator of mitochondrial biogenesis (120) when parkin decreases the number of mitochondria through mitophagy in response to mitochondrial damage.

**DJ-1**

Homzygous loss-of-function mutations in DJ-1 result in early-onset PD (8). DJ-1, originally identified as an oncogene, is neuroprotective under oxidative stress conditions. DJ-1-deficient mice exhibit hypersensitivity to oxidative stress and exacerbated DA neuron loss following treatment with 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine (MPTP), an oxidative stress-inducing compound (66). Even under normal conditions, these mice display elevated mitochondrial H2O2 and decreased aconitase activity, a sensitive marker of oxidative stress. In addition to these biochemical phenotypes, DJ-1-deficient mice display DA reuptake dysfunction (41) but lack any overt neurodegeneration (4, 66), suggesting that loss of DJ-1 alone is insufficient to induce cell death and that environmental risk factors may be important, at least in mice.

**DJ-1 Plays a Role in Maintaining Mitochondrial Integrity**

In human dopaminergic neuroblastoma cells, DJ-1 knock-down leads to mitochondrial depolarization and fragmentation, suggesting that DJ-1 is important for maintaining the integrity and function of the mitochondrial pool (123). These phenotypes are caused by oxidative stress, because antioxidant treatment can prevent them (123). Oxidant-induced modification of DJ-1 at a conserved cysteine residue causes its relocalization to mitochondria, a step that appears to be necessary for its neuroprotection (11). Similarly, a *Drosophila* DJ-1 ortholog, DJ-1b, protects against oxidative stress, and modification of the analogous cysteine residue in fly DJ-1b is required for this function (88). Loss of both orthologs, *DJ-1α* and *DJ-1b*, in flies leads to increased cell death following treatment with the ROS-inducing compounds paraquat and rotenone (87). These effects are primarily due to the loss of *DJ-1b* (87). Double-knock-out flies exhibit reduced life span, locomotor deficits, and impaired whole-body mitochondrial respiration indicative.
of mitochondrial dysfunction (48), further supporting a role for DJ-1 in maintaining mitochondrial function.

**DJ-1 Is a Redox-Sensitive Chaperone with Pleiotropic Activities**

The mechanism by which DJ-1 protects mitochondria and mitigates cell death induced by oxidative stress occurs, in part, through direct scavenging of H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}, because DJ-1 has peroxiredoxin-like peroxidase activity (4). DJ-1 has other functions, which likely contribute to its protective role. DJ-1 functions as a redox-sensitive RNA-binding protein and regulates redox-dependent kinase signaling pathways (64, 126). Under oxidative stress conditions, DJ-1 can upregulate synthesis of the antioxidant glutathione (143); it also stabilizes Nrf2, a critical regulator of antioxidant gene transcription (15), possibly rendering DJ-1-deficient individuals susceptible to attack from ROS. Additional studies have indicated that DJ-1 might modulate the AKT pathway in response to oxidative stress, conferring neuronal protection through prosurvival signaling (2, 126), although work in flies does not support a genetic interaction between DJ-1 and PI3K/AKT signaling under normal conditions.

Considering the substantial evidence that DJ-1, PINK1, and parkin share similar function in preserving mitochondrial integrity, a possible role for DJ-1 in the PINK1-parkin pathway has been hypothesized but is largely unfavored by current findings. All three proteins were reported to form a complex that promotes ubiquitination of parkin substrates in cells and human brain lysate (138), although there is conflicting evidence from size-exclusion chromatography in human DA cell cultures, which suggests that none of the proteins form a complex (123). Genetic interaction studies in flies reveal that overexpression of DJ-1a can rescue the pathological muscle phenotypes associated with loss of PINK1, but not parkin mutant phenotypes. Additionally, neither parkin nor PINK1 overexpression rescues DJ-1a/DJ-1b double-knock-out fly phenotypes, and they are, surprisingly, lethal in this background (48). In mammalian cells, loss of PINK1 leads to mitochondrial fragmentation that is rescued by parkin but not DJ-1. Because overexpression of parkin can rescue phenotypes associated with DJ-1 deficits, it could be hypothesized that DJ-1 acts upstream of PINK1 and parkin. However, the observation that increased DJ-1 expression protects against rotenone-induced impaired mitochondrial connectivity with or without endogenous PINK1 expression leads to the conclusion that DJ-1 does not act in the same pathway as PINK1 and parkin (123). Given the significant overlap between phenotypes arising from loss of each of these proteins, it is likely that they act in parallel pathways with a common effect. If PINK1 and parkin act to maintain a healthy mitochondrial pool by triggering autophagy of dysfunctional mitochondria, then does DJ-1 have similar effects?

DJ-1 silencing is associated with both up-regulated (59, 107, 123, 128) and downregulated (42, 72) markers of autophagy, and there is no clear evidence surrounding any direct effects of DJ-1 on mitochondrial autophagy. Hence, additional studies are needed to probe the molecular underpinnings of DJ-1 protection against mitochondrial dysfunction and cell death and to examine the relationship between DJ-1, PINK1, and parkin in the context of PD pathogenesis. One common thread is the established importance of these three proteins in protecting against oxidative stress. DJ-1 clearly protects cells against oxidative stress, and elevated oxidative stress is observed in PINK1 and parkin mutant *Drosophila*. In these flies, prominent phenotypes are observed in flight muscles, testes, and DA neurons that have a high metabolic rate and therefore a relatively high susceptibility to oxidative stress via metabolic ROS generation. Hence, strict mitochondria quality control (i.e., elimination of dysfunctional mitochondria by autophagy) may be necessary in tissues with high energy demand to combat the consequences of oxidative stress on cellular function, and DJ-1, PINK1, and parkin may be crucial to this regulation.
FURTHER EVIDENCE FOR MITOCHONDRIAL DYSFUNCTION IN PARKINSON’S DISEASE

Considerable evidence from genetic studies on PINK1, parkin, and DJ-1 mutants described above supports the importance of mitochondrial dysfunction and oxidative stress in the pathology that results in parkinsonian phenotypes (25, 110). Mitochondrial impairment is also thought to be central to the development of sporadic PD, triggered by environmental factors in combination with certain susceptibility gene variants and normal age-related loss of mitochondrial function (Figure 2).

Mitochondrial Complex I Inhibition and Parkinson’s Disease

Exposure to certain environmental toxins may be an important risk factor for PD (6). In the early 1980s, it was discovered that the injection of narcotics contaminated with MPTP induced acute parkinsonism in a number of individuals and led to the selective loss of nigral DA neurons (6). Animal models have since shown that MPTP toxicity is associated with its conversion to the mitochondrial complex I inhibitor MPP+ by monoamine oxidase B followed by selective uptake into DA neurons (62). Certain environmental chemicals, such as the herbicide paraquat and the pesticide rotenone, are also selective complex I inhibitors, and epidemiological studies consistently point toward a significant increase in PD risk following occupational exposure to these toxins (26, 62). Defects in complex I activity are consistently observed in the substantia nigra of patients with PD (111), suggesting that mitochondrial dysfunction is central to PD pathogenesis. Over half of the proteins encoded by mitochondrial DNA assemble into complex I, and certain mitochondrial DNA polymorphisms and haplotypes appear to reduce the risk for developing PD (5, 105), including a specific single-nucleotide polymorphism that results in a threonine-to-alanine change in NADH3 of complex I, which is strongly associated with this protective effect (127).

Whether any mitochondrial or nuclear-encoded genetic variants for complex I exist that significantly increase the relative risk of developing PD is unclear, although continued GWAS should help in determining this. Dominant mutations in POLG, the catalytic subunit of mitochondrial DNA polymerase, cosegregate with a multisystem disorder that includes parkinsonism in some families (81) and result in the accumulation of mitochondrial DNA deletions in patient tissue. Two follow-up studies further implicate POLG polyglutamine tract variants in idiopathic PD (29, 82), although these results were not replicated in another study (56), indicating that POLG1 is likely to contribute substantially to the etiology of sporadic PD only in certain populations.

PGC-1α Dysfunction in Parkinson’s Disease

Bioenergetic genes, including nuclear-encoded electron transport chain genes that are under control of PGC-1α, are underexpressed in PD patients (141). Activation of PGC-1α in cellular models leads to an increase in nuclear-encoded subunits of electron transport chain complexes and blocks neuronal death induced by α-synuclein or rotenone. Moreover, PGC-1α is potently regulated by the parkin substrate PARIS (117). Thus, mitochondrial impairment is a putative disease mechanism underlying both familial and sporadic PD, and as such, genetic studies into mitochondrial dysfunction should provide valuable insight into both forms of the disease.

CONCLUSION

Our understanding of PD pathogenesis has been greatly advanced by genetic studies of disease-causing mutations in cell and animal models. Studies of genes linked to autosomal recessive PD clearly implicate mitochondrial dysfunction and protein mishandling in the pathological progression that leads to neuronal...
death and clinical PD. Most recently, insight into a putative relationship between PINK1 and parkin in regulating mitochondrial elimination by autophagy and the regulation of the major transcriptional regulator of mitochondrial biogenesis, PGC-1α, through the parkin substrate PARIS further emphasizes the importance of impaired mitochondrial function in PD etiology. The role of LRRK2 in PD pathogenesis awaits further clarification, although initial studies indicate the importance of kinase activity in LRRK2 toxicity, which suggests that kinase inhibitors may be relevant to the treatment of PD. As the mechanisms linking genetic mutations to the manifestation of PD become clearer, it is anticipated that our prospects for developing disease-modifying therapies will be greatly improved.

**SUMMARY POINTS**

1. Evidence points toward a role for α-synuclein in mediating the assembly of SNARE complexes that are required for fusion of synaptic vesicles to the presynaptic membrane. Pathogenic mutations or multiplication of SNCA along with other factors such as age-related oxidative stress, Aβ, GBA, and DA, might promote the aggregation of α-synuclein into toxic oligomers and fibrils that are the main component of Lewy bodies. Mechanisms of α-synuclein oligomer toxicity may include formation of membranous pores that permeabilize cellular membranes and self-propagated aggregation that can transmit between cells.

2. Several LRRK2 mutations cause late-onset PD reminiscent of sporadic disease. LRRK2 kinase activity is required for its toxic effects on neurons, and because certain pathogenic mutations increase LRRK2 kinase activity, this may be important in disease pathogenesis related to these mutations. Consistent with this hypothesis, inhibition of LRRK2 kinase activity can prevent LRRK2 toxicity in vivo.

3. PINK1 and parkin are linked with autosomal recessive forms of PD and may act in the same pathway to control mitochondrial turnover. Collected evidence supports a model in which accumulation of PINK1 at damaged mitochondria leads to the recruitment of overexpressed parkin, which somehow potentially promotes autophagic clearance of damaged mitochondria through a mechanism that likely involves polyubiquitination of substrates such as mitofusin and VDAC1.

4. PARIS has been identified as a pathogenic parkin substrate that accumulates in models of parkin inactivation, leading to DA neurodegeneration through transcriptional repression of PGC-1α. Conditional knock-out of parkin in adult animals leads to a loss of DA neurons that is PARIS dependent.

5. The identification of common variants at α-synuclein and LRRK2 loci as significant risk factors for developing sporadic PD, coupled with the established contribution of mitochondrial dysfunction to sporadic and familial PD, indicates that both disease forms share a common etiology and emphasizes the importance of genetic studies to understanding the molecular underpinnings of the disease.

**FUTURE ISSUES**

1. Identifying physiological substrates for LRRK2 and PINK1 connected to the phenotypes manifested in genetic PD models is crucial. This will likely reveal key pathways mechanistic to disease and indicate novel therapeutic targets.
2. Understanding the relationship between PINK1, parkin, PGC-1α, and PARIS in the pathogenesis of PD and the relationship to mitochondrial biogenesis and mitophagy will be similarly important.

3. Determining how α-synuclein oligomers and fibrils contribute to neuronal cell death, and the potential importance of oligomeric membrane pores and α-synuclein aggregate prion-like behavior, is clearly important to understanding PD and related synucleinopathies.

4. Better understanding of the molecular relationships between PD-linked gene products will help identify key triggers and pathways in disease caused by different genetic mutations.
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